Plasmonic Nanoprobes for Stimulated Emission Depletion Nanoscopy
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ABSTRACT: Plasmonic nanoparticles influence the absorption and emission processes of nearby emitters due to local enhancements of the illuminating radiation and the photonic density of states. Here, we use the plasmon resonance of metal nanoparticles in order to enhance the stimulated depletion of excited molecules for super-resolved nanoscopy. We demonstrate stimulated emission depletion (STED) nanoscopy with gold nanorods with a long axis of only 26 nm and a width of 8 nm. These particles provide an enhancement of up to 50% of the resolution compared to fluorescent-only probes without plasmonic components irradiated with the same depletion power. The nanoparticle-assisted STED probes reported here represent a ∼2 × 10^3 reduction in probe volume compared to previously used nanoparticles. Finally, we demonstrate their application toward plasmon-assisted STED cellular imaging at low-depletion powers, and we also discuss their current limitations.
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The diffraction limit has ceased to be a practical limit to resolution in far-field microscopy, following the demonstration of stimulated emission depletion (STED)1−3 RESOLFT4 and localization microscopies5−7 and the subsequent development of a plethora of super-resolved nanoscopy techniques.8 In particular, STED nanoscopy, which builds on the advantages of laser scanning confocal microscopy, is a powerful technique for super-resolved imaging in complex biological samples including live organisms.10,11 STED nanoscopy uses stimulated emission to turn off the spontaneous fluorescence emission of dye molecules, typically overlapping a focused excitation beam with a “doughnut”-shaped beam that de-excites emitters to the ground state everywhere except for the area within the center of the doughnut, thus providing theoretically-diffraction-limited resolution in the transverse plane by reducing the full-width half-maximum (fwhm) of the point spread function (PSF). By increasing the power of the depletion beam, the emission region can be made drastically reduced—theoretically allowing for subnanometer resolution—although resolutions of <10 nm being demonstrated.11 The scaling of resolution with the square root of the depletion beam power means that relatively high-power lasers are typically used for STED nanoscopy. In practice, however, the use of high-power irradiation can result in problems such as photobleaching of the fluorophores and phototoxicity, and so the achievable resolution is compromised by the need to limit the intensity of the depletion laser radiation. Furthermore, high-power lasers can add cost and complexity to STED microscopes, and so the requirement for high power depletion beams presents challenges for parallelizing STED measurements12,13 in terms of the lasers required, thus, limiting the potential for faster super-resolved imaging.

To some extent, the issue of photobleaching can be addressed with the development of more robust fluorescent labels such as quantum dots14 as labeling agents, but they still require relatively intense depletion-beams and present concern around phototoxicity. In order to reduce the required intensity...
of the plasmonic nanoparticles (NPs) whose localized surface plasmon resonances (LSPRs) are spectrally tuned to the depletion beam wavelength.\textsuperscript{15–17} Briefly, materials that present plasmonic resonances enable an intense subwavelength concentration of light to below the diffraction limit, mediating electromagnetic (EM) energy transfer either from the far to the near field or vice versa. Thus, these elements can be considered as optical nanoantennas and are key instruments in the conversion of free-space light to nanometer-scale volumes.\textsuperscript{18,19} For metal NPs at their LSPR, their scattering cross section can be much larger than their physical cross section, which allows them to efficiently capture light. This light is then concentrated in the vicinity of the nanoparticle and can be used, for example, to deplete excited fluorescent molecules, among others.\textsuperscript{20–23} Thus, if the LSPR of the metal NP is centered at the depletion-laser wavelength, the input depletion-power requirements for STED microscopy can be met at much lower intensities than normally used.

Our recent demonstration of the proof of concept of nanoparticle-assisted STED (NP-STED) nanoscopy utilized 160 nm core@shell (silica@Au) spherical particles, with a plasmon resonance at ∼800 nm and fluorescent dyes embedded in the silica core.\textsuperscript{17} However, such large NPs are not practically useful for most studies in biology. In order to facilitate their incorporation into cells and to best represent the underlying labeled structures of interest when using them to read out biological processes, the size of the plasmonic-fluorescent probes should be as small as possible.\textsuperscript{24} Typical resolution achieved in STED using fluorescent dyes for bioimaging is below 50 nm, which can be taken as an upper limit for the sizes of experimentally useful plasmonic NP labels.\textsuperscript{25} A further issue for the core@shell nanoparticles used in our proof of concept demonstration was the observation of unwanted background light arising from gold luminescence. This limited the resolution achievable such that it was necessary to implement time-gated detection in order to suppress this unwanted background light.\textsuperscript{17}

For NP-STED microscopy implemented with a mode-locked Ti:sapphire laser to provide the depletion radiation, plasmonic probes utilizing the fluorescence of the most popular near-infrared STED dyes should be designed to have a plasmon resonance at ∼780 nm and ideally be smaller than 50 nm. Au and Ag are the most common materials employed in plasmonics to achieve near-infrared and visible-wavelength LSPRs, due to their well-behaved optical constants.\textsuperscript{26} By tuning the shape and size of the particles, it is possible to shift their plasmon resonance over the whole visible and the near-infrared spectrum.\textsuperscript{17} However, as Ag and Au spheres of ∼50 nm diameter have their plasmon resonances at ∼450 and ∼550 nm, respectively, achieving a LSPR at 780 nm with a 50 nm maximum size for metallic (Ag or Au) particles requires the use of nonspherical particles. Anisotropic particles, such as gold nanorods (AuNRs), emerge as a suitable shape to fulfill these requirements as their plasmon resonance can be tuned by adjusting their aspect ratio (length/width).\textsuperscript{20} Specifically, AuNRs with an aspect ratio of ∼3.25 ensure a longitudinal plasmon resonance at 780 nm in oil; usually used as a refractive index matching media for microscopy measurements. Thus, if the length and width of the AuNRs are reduced while keeping this ratio constant, it is possible to achieve smaller plasmonic probes suitable for STED nanoscopy.\textsuperscript{29} Here, we demonstrate this extension of NP-STED to smaller, anisotropic particles by synthesizing plasmonic probes based on 26 × 8 nm fluorescently labeled AuNRs and show that we can achieve a resolution improvement of ∼50% using STED microscopy at low depletion intensities (1.5 MW/cm\textsuperscript{2}) for which a control experiment using fluorescent beads without plasmonic enhancement presents a much weaker (<10%) improvement in resolution. The plasmonic nanoprobes for STED reported here are ∼2000 times smaller in volume compared to the nanoparticles we reported previously,\textsuperscript{17} and we have used them to label adult neural stem cells for STED nanoscopy at low depletion powers. We also note that the reduction (∼1000×) in the amount of metal of these NP means that we no longer detect the unwanted background light from gold luminescence.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We start by describing the functionalization and characterization of the small plasmonic probes, designed to perform as labeling agents for low-power STED super-resolution imaging. As already mentioned, we synthesized small, fluorophore-coated AuNRs, whose longitudinal plasmon resonance is matched with the depletion-beam wavelength (780 nm in our STED microscope, see Figure S1 for more information about the STED-FLIM setup). In order to conjugate the fluorescent dye (STAR 635P) onto the surface of the AuNRs, we used a biotin–streptavidin system (Figure 1a). This linker provides a separation of ∼5 nm between the fluorophore and the metal surface of the nanorods in order to avoid fluorescence quenching.\textsuperscript{30,31} It also enables us to incorporate a molecule providing chemically specific binding such as phallolidin, which is used to provide tight and selective binding to actin in cells (for further details on the functionalization see the Methods section). Two centrifugation steps were carried out in order to fully purify the AuNRs. Spectral characterization of the supernatant and pellet confirmed the removal of excess dye. The average size of our AuNRs (26 ± 4 nm length and 8 ± 1 nm width) was determined by TEM (N > 100 particles, see Figure S2 for distributions). Figure 1b shows representative TEM images of the postfunctionalized AuNRs. We estimate the total size of the probe—metal particle and capping layer—to be ∼36 × 18 nm. The extinction spectrum of the ∼3.25 aspect ratio AuNRs measured in ProLong Gold media shows LSPRs centered at 520 nm (transversal mode) and 780 nm (longitudinal mode), see red line in Figure 1c; in agreement with calculations (see Figure S3). We also present in Figure 1c the absorption (green line) and emission spectrum (dashed blue line; excited at 600 nm) of the STAR 635P conjugated to the AuNRs. The corresponding emission spectrum of the free STAR 635P dye is also shown (solid blue line). The fluorescence lifetime was found to be the same for the AuNR and the free dye (see Figure S4), and the emission spectra are similar apart from an apparent decrease at the red edge that we attribute to emitted photons being absorbed by the AuNRs at those wavelengths.

To characterize the electromagnetic response of the employed AuNRs, their extinction cross section was calculated by means of finite element method simulations (see Methods section for more details). Figure 2a presents the calculated extinction cross section of an AuNR (under plane wave illumination), showing the longitudinal LSPR at 780 nm and the transverse LSPR at 520 nm, in close agreement with the measured spectrum (see Figure 1c). The inset panel (Figure...
To demonstrate the potential of the AuNRs for NP-STED, we functionalized a glass coverslip to attach the AuNRs to the surface (see Methods for further details) and compared the resolution improvement relative to confocal microscopy for the AuNR and for 20 nm diameter crimson red beads as a function of the power of the depletion beam. Assuming that the depletion beam pulse length, $\tau_{\text{STED}}$, is much smaller than the fluorescence lifetime of the fluorophore, $\tau_{\text{FL}}$, the resolution achievable with pulsed STED depends on the wavelength, $\lambda$, the numerical aperture (NA) of the objective, the peak intensity of the depletion beam, $I_{\text{STED}}$, and the saturation intensity of the fluorophore, $I_{\text{sat}}$, as given by

$$\text{FWHM}_{\text{STED}} = \frac{\text{FWHM}_0}{\sqrt{(1 + \varnothing)}}$$

where

$$\text{FWHM}_0 = \frac{0.5\lambda}{\text{NA}}$$

and

$$\varnothing = \frac{I_{\text{STED}}}{I_{\text{sat}}} \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{FL}}}$$

Hence, we can write the STED resolution improvement with respect to confocal microscopy as $\Gamma_{\text{res}} = \sqrt{1 + \varnothing}$. In the case of NP-STED, we can introduce an extra factor describing the spatially averaged improvement in resolution due to the nanoparticle. The resolution improvement factor of NP-STED nanoscopy can, therefore, be written as

$$\Gamma_{\text{res}} = \sqrt{1 + \frac{\varnothing}{\Gamma_p \gamma}}$$

where

$$\Gamma_p = \frac{\Gamma_{\text{fl}}}{\gamma}$$

Here, $\Gamma_{\text{fl}}$ is the local increase of the depletion intensity at the fluorophores location due to the electromagnetic-field enhancement (at the STED wavelength), and $\gamma$ is the enhancement of the decay rate of the dye, which is modified due to the Purcell effect (at the emission wavelength). An increase in the fluorescent decay rate is detrimental, as it reduces the total amount of collected photons. For this reason, the metal NPs were designed to be out of resonance at the dye emission wavelength, such that $\gamma \approx 1$, as was confirmed by the lifetime measurements of the sample (see Figure S4).

The resolution improvement of the NP–STED system is, therefore, estimated by means of the electromagnetic intensity enhancement, $\Gamma_p$. Such enhancement factor can be calculated for the AuNRs under plane wave illumination. Indeed, it has been shown that such an estimate provides a crude but valuable and easy-to-implement alternative for exact numerical simulations, such as those performed in ref 15. Those simulations have also shown that the doughnut’s beam shape is not significantly affected by the presence of the nanoparticles. On the other hand, since the fluorophores are randomly positioned over the rod at $\sim 5$ nm from its surface and with randomly oriented dipole moments, the averaged value of the local enhancement, $\Gamma_p$, has to be considered. As shown in Figure 2b, the field enhancement at that distance from the rod ranges from 5 to 7. Averaging the local field intensity over the fluorophore’s positions and orientations...
yields an intensity enhancement factor $\Gamma_1 \approx 8.5$ (see Methods). Yet, the effective enhancement is reduced because of the lower emission cross section of the hybrid STAR 635P-AuNR emitter with respect to the free dye, see Figure 1c, which gives rise to a higher saturation level. With this, we characterize the resolution improvement factor in percent via the expression:\textsuperscript{17}

$$\chi_{\text{theo}} = 100 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\Gamma_{\text{res}}} \right)$$

This calculated resolution improvement curve ($\chi_{\text{theo}}$) is shown in Figure 2c for the STAR 635P-AuNRs. This can be directly compared to the measured resolution improvement:

$$\chi_{\text{exp}} = 100 \left( 1 - \frac{\text{FWHM}_{\text{STED}}}{\text{FWHM}_{\text{conf}}} \right)$$

By comparing the fwhm of the bright spots (i.e., single fluorescent AuNR or single fluorescence bead) observed from the AuNRs under confocal or STED imaging, it is possible to
estimate this resolution improvement (%) factor, \( \chi_{\text{exp}} \), experimentally for a given STED depletion-power, as exemplified in Figure 3b (see Figures S6 and S7 for more examples). Figure 3a shows the experimental resolution improvement, \( \chi_{\text{exp}} \), for fluorescent-AuNRs (orange crosses) and for the nonplasmonic probes [20 nm crimson fluorescent beads (green crosses) see experimental methods for details]. This was determined for selected AuNRs/beads across the sample as a function of the power of the depletion beam; see examples in Figure 3b,c. Normalized intensity profiles, for better comparison, are presented in Figure S8.

Although there is a significant dispersion in the measurements for both systems (which in the case of AuNRs may arise from clustering of the NPs, from a finite AuNRs size distribution or from thermally induced motion of the AuNR as discussed below), there is a clear trend indicated by the average values found for each depletion power (open circles in Figure 3a). For depletion powers as low as 1.5 MW/cm², we observed a resolution improvement of up to ∼50% for the AuNRs with respect to the confocal resolution, in very good agreement with the numerical estimates of Figure 2c, while for the fluorescent beads, this value is below 10% under the same experimental conditions. This implies an upper limit improvement of 44% for AuNR-STED compared to dye-based STED at this depletion power. Equivalently, when using the AuNRs, a given resolution improvement is attained by about 10 times lower intensity compared with the control measurement. In fact, since crimson beads have a lower saturation intensity than STAR 635P (see Methods section), a comparison of the AuNRs against beads labeled with STAR635P would be expected to show an even larger improvement in resolution.

As well as these plasmonic probes for STED being ∼2000 times smaller in volume than the previously used silica@Au particles,17 the average near-field intensity experienced by the fluorophores in the case of the AuNRs is ∼2 times larger at the depletion wavelength (see Methods section and Figure S5). The amount of gold in each AuNR is also greatly reduced (1000 times) compared to the Au shell of previously used particles. This reduces the gold luminescence below our detection limit and is not apparent in the emission decay profiles (see S1). Thus, we do not need to apply time-gated detection to prevent the spurious luminescence compromising the STED performance, as was necessary for the core@shell nanoparticles17 and which decreased the detected fluorescence signals. Unfortunately, while the shape and small size of the chosen NPs provides a large electric-field enhancement and the possibility to incorporate them into cells (as shown next), this is accompanied by an increase in the absorption of the metal. In practice, this leads to heating and thermally induced movement of the AuNRs that becomes a significant challenge for STED nanoscopy with depletion powers over ∼2 MW/cm² (see further discussion in the S1). This clearly presents a practical challenge for NP-STED, as further discussed in the conclusions.

Finally, we incorporated fluorescently labeled AuNRs into adult neural stem cells in order to perform super-resolution imaging inside the cell (see Methods for details). Figure 4 shows an initial study, where the resolution improvement for NP-STED can be clearly seen across the image for depletion powers as low as 0.5 MW/cm². However, it is important to take into account the anisotropic nature of these AuNRs that would be bound to actin and the potential impact on the resolution improvement of out-of-plane orientations. In order to investigate this possibility, we performed calculations on the “effective” PSF of the depletion beam and the expected emission PSF, depending on the 3D orientation of the AuNRs (see Figures S10–S12). Our calculations show that the degree of improvement in resolution can vary depending on the specific orientation of the AuNRs. This last fact can account for the brighter/dimmer spots shown in Figure 4c compared to 4b. Although further work is required to improve the labeling of relevant intracellular structures (see Figure S13), this proof of concept experiment nevertheless indicates the potential for lower power STED nanoscopy of biological samples.22

CONCLUSIONS

While many different types of nanoparticles (made out of, e.g., silica, carbon, polymers, quantum dots, etc.) have been utilized as probes for fluorescence imaging,35 super-resolved bioimaging with nanoparticles has only recently been accomplished, using quantum dots.24 In this report, we have shown the possibility to extend the NP-STED concept to significantly smaller nanometric plasmonic probes that we have shown are able to operate at low powers and can be incorporated into cells. Employing the near-field enhancement properties of fluorescently labeled 26 × 8 nm AuNRs, we achieve a resolution improvement of 50% compared to 10% for STED nanoscopy of fluorescent beads with the same depletion power. We further note that the smaller size of these AuNRs compared to the core@shell NPs17 eliminates the issue of unwanted background light from gold luminescence. This demonstrates the potential of plasmon-assisted STED to realize super resolution at lower depletion powers. This could benefit rapid parallelized STED microscopy by reducing the total power required to realize the multiple-doughnut approach.12,13 Further advantages of performing STED at lower depletion powers (NP-STED) could...
include reducing unwanted autofluorescence background in cells excited by the depletion beam and reducing phototoxicity.

Currently, the main challenge for NP-STED for bioimaging is the heating of the NPs and surrounding medium upon illumination, which has limited the resolution achieved with the AuNRs. In addition, heating can lead to thermally induced motion of the NPs and ultimately their destruction at higher depletion powers.\textsuperscript{17} It may be possible to mitigate these issues to some extent by optimizing the duration and repetition rate of the STED pulses and taking advantage of the rapid equilibration of the NP’s temperature, which we calculate to be \(~6\) ns (see Figure S9).\textsuperscript{36} For instance, by increasing the duration of the pulses (i.e., \(1\) ns) while maintaining the total pulse energy, it is possible to reduce the peak temperature rise by over 50\% (see Figure S9), although time-gated detection may then be necessary to optimize the STED nanoscopy resolution. Another possibility would be to reduce the depletion intensity required to deplete the dye by working at shorter wavelengths.\textsuperscript{37,38} Specifically, designing anisotropic NPs with a LSPR centered at \(\sim750\) nm, where the cross-section for stimulated emission depletion of STAR635P is approximately 3 times higher than at \(780\) nm, could reduce the intensity required to achieve a given STED resolution by the same factor of \(~3\), thus further reducing the heat generated. Engineering smaller plasmonic particles could also reduce the amount of heat generated, however, the field enhancements obtained with such particles would be lower. This could be compensated by locating the emitters closer to the metal surface, at the cost of reducing their quantum yield and fluorescence lifetime. However, the lower photon output could be compensated by a concomitant reduction of photobleaching.\textsuperscript{47} Recently, this has been shown in the context of NP-STED.\textsuperscript{50}

Finally, as a further development of the NP-STED concept, we envision the possibility of combining plasmonic particles with quantum dots (as fluorophores) in order to have a robust probe in terms of photobleaching\textsuperscript{48} with the plasmon modes enhancing the depletion of the emission,\textsuperscript{39,40} thus requiring less power for STED nanoscopy.

METHODS

Synthesis and Functionalization of AuNRs. Streptavidin-coated AuNRs (Nanopartz, 150 \(\mu\)L), featuring approximately 15 streptavidin proteins per nanorod, were incubated with phalloidin-xx-biotin (20 \(\mu\)mol/L in MeOH, 50 \(\mu\)L) and biotinylated STAR 635P (Abberior) dye (0.1 mg/mL in DMSO, 14 \(\mu\)L). After 3 h the mixture was centrifuged at \(9600 \times g\) twice before resuspending the pellet in 1 mL of \(H_2O\) (18 \(\mu\)M, ultrapure). Calculated molar quantities: Streptavidin 2.28 \(\times 10^{-10}\) moles; phalloidin-xx-biotin 1 \(\times 10^{-9}\) moles; STAR 635P 10.1 \(\times 10^{-10}\) moles. We estimate a labeling ratio of 7 fluorophores per nanorod. A control sample was made up in the same way without the dye, and with 150 \(\mu\)L of phalloidin-xx-biotin to ensure correct rejection from the excitation and STED lasers (no fluorophores) and discard interference (i.e., scattering) from the metallic nanoparticles. Absorption and emission spectra were measured in solution using water as solvent. \(I_{nw} \sim 15\) MW/cm\(^2\) was estimated for STAR 635P from its lifetime, \(\tau\), and extinction coefficient, \(\sigma\), given by the data sheet (http://www.sigmaaldrich.com/life-science/cell-biology/detection/abberior-dyes.html), as \(I_{nw} = k \cdot h \cdot \sigma / (\tau STED)\), where \(k\) is the spontaneous decay rate, \(\tau = 1/\sigma\), and \(\sigma\) is the extinction coefficient at the STED wavelength.

Fixation of AuNRs to the Substrate. AuNRs were fixed on glass substrates by soaking the substrates in PBS 3 times, 10 min each. After that, a mixture of 1 mg/mL biotinylated BSA and 0.1 mg/mL BSA was left in contact with the substrates for 3 h, followed by 3 washing steps with PBS. AuNRs were diluted 50 times in PBS (final OD close to 1) and then put in contact with substrate for 2 h, followed by washing 3 times with PBS. Finally, biotinylated STAR 635P (1 mM) was used to label the fixed rods in the substrate and then washed two times with PBS.

Preparation of Fluorescent Bead Sample. Twenty nm diameter fluorescent beads (Crimson beads, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, US) with excitation/emission maxima at 625/645 nm were diluted 1:1000 in Milli-Q and applied to poly-L-lysine coated coverslips. They were mounted in TDE (97\%) in order to match the refractive index of 1.52 for the immersion oil. \(I_{nw} \sim 2\) MW/cm\(^2\) was estimated for crimson beads from ref 41.

Scattering of Gold Nanoparticles. The electromagnetic response of the nanoparticles is characterized by the extinction cross section under plane wave incidence. Extinction is given by the sum of scattering and absorption, which were calculated using the finite element method (Comsol Multiphysics, https://www.comsol.com/comsol-multiphysics). The scattering cross section is obtained from the power scattered by the nanorod and the absorption cross section from the electromagnetic energy absorbed by the particle. The calculation is performed for two different incident polarizations (electric field parallel to the long or short axis of the rod), and circular polarization is then considered. The results shown in Figure 2a correspond to cross sections normalized to the illuminated area of the particle. The permittivity of gold, \(\varepsilon_{Au}(\omega)\), was taken from the data given in ref 42.

Plasmonic Enhancement Factor of STED Resolution. As discussed in the main text, the NP-STED resolution improvement is given by \(\Gamma_{\text{res}} \approx \sqrt[4]{1 + \Gamma_\text{M} \Gamma_\text{P}}\), where \(\Gamma_\text{M}\) \& \(\Gamma_\text{P}\). In order to calculate \(\Gamma_\text{P}\), we perform a series of averages of the dipole orientations of the AuNR. We start from a simulation with a linearly polarized plane wave, since only the electric-field component of the circularly polarized beam that lies along the rod’s long axis excites the longitudinal LSPR (note that we take the appropriate normalization factor into account and that all the field enhancement values given in this paper correspond to a circularly polarized wave). Next, we average the intensity enhancement at the fluorophore position, \(\Gamma_\text{P}(r) = \mu \cdot E_{\text{tip}}(r)^2\), over random dipole orientations (\(\mu\) is the unit dipole moment in the direction of the fluorophore’s dipole and \(E_{\text{tip}}(r)\) the near-field enhancement at the LSPR). Finally, in order to take into account the inhomogeneous field distribution provided by the AuNRs, we average over random positions over the AuNR, \(\Gamma_\text{P} = 1/A \cdot \langle \mu \cdot d A \cdot \Gamma_\text{P} \rangle(r)\), with \(A\) being the area of the surface 5 nm away from the AuNR, as depicted in Figure 2b. After performing all the averages, we obtain a plasmonic intensity enhancement factor of 8.5, which corresponds to an average field enhancement for the fluorophores of 3. Note that in case not all of the rods lie on the plane, as could potentially be the case for the bioimaging example shown in Figure 4, the averaged plasmonic intensity enhancement factor would change. This is discussed further in the SI.

STED Microscopy Setup. The STED-FLIM microscope employed in this study is a development of that described previously\textsuperscript{43,44} utilizing a single 80 MHz repetition rate mode-locked Ti:sapphire laser (Spectra-Physics, Mai Tai HP) tuned to 780 nm to provide the depletion beam and to generate a supercontinuum in a microstructured fiber from which the excitation pulses were selected using a bandpass filter (628/40 nm). Further details on the experimental setup are presented in the SI.

STED Microscopy. STED images were taken by first acquiring a confocal image by scanning 10 frames and adding them together to give a final image. The acquisition time was 0.41 s for each 64 \(\times\) 64 frame, where the pixel size was 55.96 nm. Once the confocal image was acquired, the depletion beam was switched on, and a STED image was acquired by scanning and summing 10 frames. The depletion laser pulses were set to arrive 10 ps after the excitation pulses. The average power of the depletion beam was measured before the tube lens of the microscope, and the STED pulse intensity (\(I_{\text{STED}}\)) was calculated using \(I_{\text{STED}} = \frac{I_{\text{P}} x_{\text{STED}}}{A_{\text{STED}}}\), where \(k = 0.3, \ A_{\text{STED}}\) is the STED focal area, and \(P_{\text{STED}}\) is the corresponding peak power\textsuperscript{45} obtained by dividing the pulse energy by \(\tau_{\text{STED}}\).
FLIM Microscopy. Fluorescence Lifetime IMaging (FLIM) data were acquired in confocal imaging mode by accumulating five 64x64 frames, where the pixel size was 5.96 nm and the acquisition time was 0.41 s for each frame. Fluorescence intensity images were analyzed using Fiji and MatLab 2013a (The Mathworks, Inc., Natick, Massachusetts, U.S.A.), and FLIM data were analyzed using our in-house open source tool, FLIMfit.\textsuperscript{36}

Cell Stain, Fixation and Measurements. Adult neural stem cells were treated for 15 min with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS buffer and permeabilised for 10 min with 0.5% triton-x100 detergent in PBS at room temperature. One L of PBS buffer was made out of: 8 g NaCl, 0.2 g KCl, 0.2 g KH2PO4, and 1.15 g Na2HPO4. After that the cells were blocked for 30 min with 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in PBS at room temperature. Finally, cell’s staining was carried out with a dilution of AuNRs-Atto647-phalloidin probes (resonant at 820 nm in ProLong Gold media) in a solution of 3% BSA in PBS (in order to reach an optical density of ~1) for 1 h at room temperature, washed 3 times for 10 min with PBS, and mounted in ProLong Gold antifade reagent. Depletion wavelength was set to 820 nm in this case.
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