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## The Global Point of View

## $C^{n}$-Functionals

## Review of Basic Kinematics and Statics on Manifolds

$$
T_{\kappa} \mathscr{Q}
$$

- The mechanical system is characterized by its configuration space-a manifold $\mathscr{Q}$.
- Velocities are tangent vectors to the manifold-elements of $T \mathscr{Q}$.
- A Force at the configuration $\kappa$ is a linear mapping $F: T_{\kappa} \mathscr{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.


> Can we apply this framework to Continuum Mechanics?

## Problems Associated with the Configuration Space

## in Continuum Mechanics

- What is a configuration?
- Does the configuration space have a structure of a manifold?
- The configuration space for continuum mechanics is infinite dimensional.


## Configurations of Bodies in Space

- A mapping of the body into space;
- material impenetrability-one-to-one;
- continuous deformation gradient (derivative);
- do not "crash" volumes-invertible derivative.



## Manifold Structure for Euclidean Geometry

- If the body is a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and space is modeled by $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, the collection of differentiable mappings $C^{1}\left(\mathscr{B}, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ is a vector space
- However, the subset of "good" configurations is not a vector space, e.g., $\kappa-\kappa=0-n o t ~ o n e-t o-o n e . ~$
- We want to make sure that the subset of configurations $\mathscr{Q}$ is an open subset of $C^{1}\left(\mathscr{B}, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$, so it is a trivial manifold.



## The $C^{0}$-Distance Between Functions

- The $C^{0}$-distance between functions measures the maximum difference between functions.
- A configuration is arbitrarily close to a "bad" mapping.



## The $C^{1}$-Distance Between Functions

- The $C^{1}$ distance between functions measures the maximum difference between functions and their derivative

$$
|u-v|_{C^{1}}=\sup \{|u(x)-v(x)|,|D u(x)-D v(x)|\} .
$$

- A configuration is always a finite distance away from a "bad" mapping.



## Conclusions for $\mathbb{R}^{3}$

- If we use the $C^{1}$-norm, the configuration space of a continuous body in space is an open subset of $C^{1}\left(\mathscr{B}, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$-the vector space of all differentiable mapping.
- $\mathscr{Q}$ is a trivial infinite dimensional manifold and its tangent space at any point may be identified with $C^{1}\left(\mathscr{B}, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$.
- A tangent vector is a velocity field.



## For Manifolds

- Both the body $\mathscr{B}$ and space $\mathscr{U}$ are differentiable manifolds.
- The configuration space is the collection $\mathscr{Q}=\operatorname{Emb}(\mathscr{B}, \mathscr{U})$ of the embeddings of the body in space. This is an open submanifold of the infinite dimensional manifold $C^{1}(\mathscr{B}, \mathscr{U})$.
- The tangent space $T_{\kappa} \mathscr{Q}$ may be characterized as

$$
T_{\kappa} \mathscr{Q}=\{w: \mathscr{B} \rightarrow T \mathscr{Q} \mid \tau \circ w=\kappa\}, \quad \text { or alternatively, } \quad T_{\kappa} \mathscr{Q}=C^{1}\left(\kappa^{*} T \mathscr{U}\right) .
$$



## Representation of $C^{0}$-Functionals by Integrals

- Assume you measure the size of a function using the $C^{0}$-distance, $\|w\|=\sup \{|w(x)|\}$.
- A linear functional $F: w \mapsto F(w)$ is continuous with respect to this norm if $F(w) \rightarrow 0$ when $\max |w(x)| \rightarrow 0$.
- Riesz representation theorem: A continuous linear functional $F$ with respect to the $C^{0}$-norm may be represented by a unique measure $\mu$ in the form

$$
F(w)=\int_{\mathscr{B}} w d \mu
$$




## Representation of $C^{1}$-Functionals by Integrals

- Now, you measure the size of a function using the $C^{1}$-distance, $\|w\|=\sup \{|w(x)|,|D w(x)|\}$.
- A linear functional $F: w \mapsto F(w)$ is continuous with respect to this norm if $F(w) \rightarrow 0$ when both max $|w(x)| \rightarrow 0$ and $\max |D w(x)| \rightarrow 0$.
- Representation theorem: A continuous linear functional $F$ with respect to the $C^{1}$-norm may be represented by measures $\sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}$ in the form

$$
F(w)=\int_{\mathscr{B}} w d \sigma_{0}+\int_{\mathscr{B}} D w d \sigma_{1} .
$$



$$
F(w)=\int_{\mathscr{B}} \phi_{0} w d x+\int_{\mathscr{B}} \phi_{1} D w d x
$$

"self" force density


## Non-Uniqueness of $C^{1}$-Representation by Integrals

- We had an expression in the form

$$
F(w)=\int_{\mathscr{B}} w d \sigma_{0}+\int_{\mathscr{B}} w^{\prime} d \sigma_{1} .
$$

- If we were allowed to vary $w$ and $w^{\prime}$ independently, we could determine $\sigma_{0}$ and $\sigma_{1}$ uniquely.
- This cannot be done because of the condition $w^{\prime}=D w$.



## Unique Representation of a Force System

- Assume we have a force system, i.e., a force $F_{\mathscr{P}}$ for every subbody $\mathscr{P}$ of $\mathscr{B}$.
- We can approximate pairs of non-compatible functions $w$ and $w^{\prime}$, i.e., $w^{\prime} \neq D w$, by piecewise compatible functions.


- This way the two measures are determined uniquely.
- One needs consistency conditions for the force system.


## Generalized Cauchy Consistency Conditions

- Additivity:


$$
F_{\mathscr{P}_{1} \cup \mathscr{P}_{2}}\left(\left.w\right|_{\mathscr{P}_{1} \cup \mathscr{P}_{2}}\right)=F_{\mathscr{P}_{1}}\left(\left.w\right|_{\mathscr{P}_{1}}\right)+F_{\mathscr{P}_{2}}\left(\left.w\right|_{\mathscr{P}_{2}}\right) .
$$

- Continuity: If $\mathscr{P}_{i} \rightarrow A$, then $F_{\mathscr{P}_{i}}\left(\left.w\right|_{\mathscr{P}_{1}}\right)$ converges and the limit depends on $A$ only.

- Uniform Boundedness: There is a $K>0$ such that for every subbody $\mathscr{P}$ and every $w$,

$$
\mid F_{\mathscr{P}}\left(\left.w\right|_{\mathscr{P}}\right) \leq K\left\|w_{\mathscr{P}}\right\| .
$$

Main Tool in Proof: Approximation of measurable sets by bodies with smooth boundaries.

## Generalizations

- All the above may be formulated and proved for differentiable manifolds.
- This formulation applies to continuum mechanics of order $k>1$ (stress tensors of order $k$ ). One should simply use the $C^{k}$-norm instead of the $C^{1}$-norm.
- The generalized Cauchy conditions also apply to continuum mechanics of order $k>1$. This is the only formulation of Cauchy conditions for higher order continuum mechanics.


## Locality and Continuity in Constitutive Theory

## Global Constitutive Relations

(Elasticity for Simplicity)

- $\mathscr{Q}$, the configuration space of a body $\mathscr{B}$.
- $C^{0}\left(\mathscr{B}, L\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right)$, the collection of all stress fields over the body.
- $\Psi: \mathscr{Q} \rightarrow C^{0}\left(\mathscr{B}, L\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right)$, a global constitutive relation.




## Locality and Materials of Grade-n

Germ Locality: If two configurations $\kappa_{1}$ and $\kappa_{2}$ are equal on a subbody containing $X$, then the resulting stress fields are equal at $X$.



Material of Grade- $n$ or $n$-Jet Locality: If the first $n$ derivatives of $\kappa_{1}$ and $\kappa_{2}$ are equal at $X$, then, $\Psi\left(\kappa_{1}\right)(X)=\Psi\left(\kappa_{2}\right)(X)$. (Elastic = grade 1.)


Body $\mathscr{B}$

Body $\mathscr{B}$

## $n$-Jet Locality and Continuity

Basic Theorem: If a constitutive relation $\Psi: \mathscr{Q} \rightarrow C^{0}\left(\mathscr{B}, L\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \mathbb{R}^{3}\right)\right)$ is local and continuous with respect to the $C^{n}$-norm, then, it is $n$-jet local. In particular, if $\Psi$ is continuous with respect to the $C^{1}$-topology, the material is elastic.


