Phase-Diffusion Dynamics in Weakly Coupled Bose-Einstein Condensates
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We study the phase sensitivity of collisional phase diffusion between weakly coupled Bose-Einstein condensates, using a semiclassical picture of the two-mode Bose-Hubbard model. When weak coupling is allowed, zero relative phase locking is attained in the Josephson-Fock transition regime, whereas a π relative phase is only locked in Rabi-Josephson point. Our analytic semiclassical estimates agree well with the numerical results.
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Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs) of dilute, weakly interacting gases are currently used to study various condensed-matter models. In addition to offering remarkable controllability, they open the way for exploring non-equilibrium dynamics far beyond small perturbations of the ground state. Whereas this regime is inaccessible in the equivalent condensed-matter realizations, due to the high Fermi energy, highly excited states are naturally produced in BEC experiments and their dynamics can be traced with great precision.

One such example is the “phase diffusion” [1–7] between two BECs prepared in a coherent state and consequently separated. Principle aspects of this process are captured by the two site Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian (BHH). Defining $\hat{a}_i, \hat{a}_i^\dagger$ as bosonic annihilation and creation operators for particles in condensate $i = 1, 2$, the corresponding particle number operators are $\hat{n}_i = \hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_i$, and the BHH takes the form [8,9]

$$\hat{H} = e \hat{L}_z - J \hat{L}_x + U \hat{L}_z^2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\hat{L}_x = (\hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_2^\dagger + \hat{a}_2 \hat{a}_1^\dagger)/2$, $\hat{L}_y = (\hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2 - \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_1)/2i$, and $\hat{L}_z = (\hat{n}_1 - \hat{n}_2)/2$ generate an $SU(2)$ Lie algebra. Bias potential, coupling, and collision interaction energies are denoted as $\epsilon$, $J$, and $U$, respectively. We have eliminated $c$-number terms that depend on the conserved total particle number $N = \hat{n}_1 + \hat{n}_2$. Below we use for representation the Fock space basis states $|\ell, m\rangle$, which are the joint eigenstates of $\hat{L}_x$ and $\hat{L}_z$, with $\ell = N/2$.

Experimental procedures allow the preparation of the system in any desired $SU(2)$ coherent state $|\theta, \varphi\rangle = e^{-i\theta L_z} e^{-i\varphi L_x} |\ell, \ell\rangle$. The $\theta$ rotation is realized by strong coupling $J \gg NU$, while the phase $\varphi$ is tunable by switching the bias $\epsilon$, thus inducing coherent phase oscillations [4,10]. In particular, it is possible to prepare the equal-population coherent states

$$|\pi/2, \varphi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N!^2}} (a_1^\dagger + e^{i\varphi} a_2^\dagger)^N |\text{vacuum}\rangle,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

In phase-diffusion experiments, the preparation stage is followed by a sudden separation of the condensates, so as to obtain two equally populated modes of a symmetric ($\epsilon = 0$) double well with a definite relative phase $\varphi$. Because of interactions, different $L_z$ Fock states oscillate with different frequencies, and the evolution under the Hamiltonian (1) with $u = NU/J \gg 1$ leads to the loss of single-particle coherence, quantified by the multishot mean fringe visibility $g_{12}^{(1)} = |\langle \dot{L}_z \rangle|/\ell$. For fully separated condensates the fringe visibility decays as $g_{12}^{(1)}(t) = e^{-(t/t_d)^2}$ with $t_d = (U \sqrt{\ell})^{-1}$ [1,3,6,7]. Long time revivals are obtained at $t_r = \pi/U$, as confirmed experimentally [3]. This behavior is insensitive to the initial phase $\varphi$, and merely reflects the binomial Gaussian-like distribution of the occupation, implied by Eq. (2).

In reality there may still be some intermediate coupling $J$, which is small compared with the collisional energy $NU$ [4–6,10]. Recent experiments in 1D BECs explored the loss of single-particle coherence under such circumstances [5], resulting in the decay to a nonvanishing equilibrium value of $g_{12}^{(1)}$. We note that since these experiments focus on

![FIG. 1 (color online). Evolution of fringe visibility with $N = 1000$ particles, starting from the spin coherent states $|\pi/2, 0\rangle$ (a)–(d), and $|\pi/2, \pi\rangle$ (e)–(h). The coupling parameter is $u = 10^4$ (a),(e), $10^3$ (b),(f) $10^2$ (c),(g), and 10 (d),(h).]
phase fluctuations associated with one dimensionality, their detailed description goes beyond the two-mode
BHH [7,11], and that dephasing can also be caused by
thermal noise [2]. Other experiments have found \( \varphi \) sen-
sitivity in the full merging of two separated condensates
[10], where a relative \( \varphi = \pi \) phase led to significant
heating losses compared to \( \varphi = 0 \). The common expec-
tation in these experiments is that small coupling between
the condensates will lead to their phase locking and sup-
press the loss of single-particle coherence.

Generally motivated by this experimental interest we
here study the \( \varphi \) dependence of the fringe-visibility ev-
olution when the two condensates remain weakly coupled
during the hold time, assuming the prototype two-mode
BHH modeling. In Fig. 1 we plot the numerically calcu-
lated \( g_{12}^{(1)} \) for both the \( |\pi/2, 0\rangle \) and the \( |\pi/2, \pi\rangle \) prepara-
tions. For the \( \varphi = 0 \) preparation, the expected phase
locking is obtained even by a very weak coupling, leading
to a nonvanishing asymptotic value of the fringe visibility,
with some small oscillations. However, if the system is
prepared in the \( \varphi = \pi \) state, phase locking is not attained if
\( u \) is moderately large, and the fringe visibility exhibits
apparently complex dynamics with a somewhat different
characteristic time scale. The corresponding short-time
dynamics was previously studied using truncated and lin-
erized models [2]. Our purpose in the present work is to
provide both qualitative and quantitative longer time anal-
ysis of this phase sensitivity of the phase-diffusion process,
using a semiclassical phase-space method.

Interaction regimes.—It is instructive to use a Wigner
function \( \rho(\theta, \varphi) \) for the representation of the quantum
states [12]. The Wigner function that represents a \( |\theta, \varphi\rangle \)
coherent state resembles a minimal Gaussian centered at
the corresponding angle on the BHH’s spherical phase
space. Using semiclassical reasoning one can argue that
the Wigner functions of the BHH eigenstates (with \( \epsilon = 0 \))
are concentrated along the contour lines of the Gross-
Pitaevskii classical energy functional,
\[
E(\theta, \varphi) = \frac{NJ}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} u (\cos \theta)^2 - \sin \theta \cos \varphi \right].
\] (3)

Furthermore, within the framework of the WKB approxi-
mation [13,14] the quantization of the energy is implied by
the condition \( A(E_x) = (4\pi/N)(n + 1/2) \) where \( A(E) \) is
the phase-space area enclosed by a fixed energy \( E \) contour,
and \( 4\pi/N \) is the Planck cell. [Below we measure \( A(E) \)
in Planck cell units.] The phase-space picture implies that
one has to distinguish between three regimes according to
the value of the dimensionless interaction parameter \( u = NU/J [8,9]: \)
(a) the linear, weak-interaction Rabi regime \( u < 1 \),
(b) the intermediate Josephson regime \( 1 < u < N^2 \),
and (c) the extreme strong-interaction Fock regime \( u > N^2 \).
In the Josephson regime the spherical phase space is split
by a figure-eight separatrix trajectory (see Fig. 2), to a
“sea” of Rabi-like (blue) trajectories and two
interaction-dominated nonlinear “islands” (green). In the

FIG. 2 (color online). Schematic drawing of the classical
phase-space energy contours (a) and the corresponding spectrum
(b), for \( N = 20 \) and \( u = 10 \). In (b) WKB energies (red \( x \)) are
compared with exact eigenvalues (blue +). Dashed lines indicate
slopes \( \omega_J \) for low energies, \( \omega_x \) for near-separatrix energies, and
\( \omega_x \) for high energies. Squares mark the eigenstates plotted in
Fig. 3.

Fock regime the phase-space area of the sea becomes
smaller than Planck cell, and therefore effectively
disappears.

Spectrum.—The energy landscape for \( u \gg 1 \), as implied
by Eq. (3), consists of sea levels that extend from the
dbottom energy \( E_- = -J \), and of island levels that occur
in almost degenerate pairs and extend up to the upper
energy \( E_+ = Ju^2 \). The border between the sea and the
islands is the separatrix energy \( E_s = J \). The oscillation
frequency \( \omega(E) = [A(E)]^{-1} \) around the minimum-energy
(stable) fixed point \( (\pi/2, 0) \) is the plasma/Josephson fre-
quency \( \omega_J = \omega(E_-) = \sqrt{(J + NU)J} = \sqrt{NU} \).
Whereas for \( u < 1 \) the opposite phase-space fixed point
(\( \pi/2, \pi \)) would also be stable with \( \omega_+ = \sqrt{(J - NU)J} \), for \( u > 1 \) it
bifurcates, and replaced by an unstable fixed point at the
separatrix energy, accompanied by the twin stable fixed
points (\( \arcsin(1/u), \pi \)), located within the islands. For
\( u > 1 \) these stationary points approach the poles
(\( \arcsin(1/u) = 0, \pi \)) and the oscillation frequency be-
comes \( \omega_+ = NU \). The significance of the various fre-
cuencies is implied by the WKB quantization (Fig. 2). At low
energy we have a nondegenerate set of Josephson levels
with spacing \( \omega_J \). Because of the nonlinearity the spacing
becomes smaller as one approaches the separatrix energy
(see next paragraph), while the high-energy levels \( (E_m =
Um^2) \) are doubly degenerate with spacing \( 2Uu \) that
approaches \( \omega_+ \) as \( m \to \ell \).

Nonlinearity.—Motion in the vicinity of the separatix is
highly nonlinear, as implied by the nonlinear variation of
the phase space area near it:

\[
|A(E) - A(E_x)| = \left| \frac{E - E_x}{\omega_J} \right| \log \left| \frac{NJ}{E - E_x} \right|.
\] (4)

We stress that Planck cell units are used for \( A(E) \). On the
basis of this expression, the WKB quantization condition
implies that the level spacing at the separatrix is finite
and given by the expression
\[ \omega_s = \left[ \frac{1}{2} \log \left( \frac{N^2}{u} \right) \right]^{-1} \omega_f. \] (5)

It is important to notice that in the strict classical limit this frequency becomes zero [15], while for finite \( N \) it differs from the Josephson frequency only by a logarithmic factor. The nonlinearity can be characterized by a parameter \( \alpha \) that reflects the \( E \) dependence of the oscillation frequency \( \omega(E) \), and hence upon WKB quantization it reflects the dependence of the level spacing \( E_{n+1} - E_n = \omega(E_n) \) on the running index \( n \). The implied definition of this parameter and its value in the vicinity of the separatrix are

\[ \alpha(E) \equiv \frac{1}{\omega} \frac{d\omega}{dn} = \omega(E)^2 A"(E) \sim \left[ \log \left( \frac{N^2}{u} \right) \right]^{-1}. \] (6)

Note that this parameter is meaningful only deep in the Josephson regime, where it is less than unity. As shown below, the parameter \( \alpha \) controls the amplitude of the fluctuations in the case of a \( \varphi = \pi \) preparation. Strangely enough, in the classical limit (\( N \rightarrow \infty \) with fixed \( u \)) the nonlinear effect becomes more pronounced as far as Eq. (5) is concerned, but weaker with regard to Eq. (6).

**Eigenstates.**—(Fig. 3) In the Rabi regime, the eigenstates of the Hamiltonian approach the \( \hat{L}_z \) eigenstates \( |\ell, m\rangle \), with eigenvalues \(-Jm\) proportional to the relative-number difference between the even and odd superpositions of the modes. In particular, the lowest eigenstate \( |\ell, \ell\rangle \) and the highest eigenstate \( |\ell, -\ell\rangle \) are the coherent states \( |\pi/2, 0\rangle \) and \( |\pi/2, \pi\rangle \), respectively, with binomial \( m \) distributions in the \( \langle \ell, m\rangle \) basis, approaching the normal (Gaussian or Poissonian) distribution for large \( \ell \). As \( u \) increases beyond unity, a transition is made into the Josephson regime. The relative-number variance \( \Delta L_z \) of the ground state decreases continuously in this regime from its coherent \( \sqrt{N}/2 \) value. However, the coherence \( g_{12} \) of the ground state remains close to unity and the relative phase is well defined throughout the Josephson regime, justifying the use of mean-field theory to depict the Josephson dynamics of ground state perturbations. The coherence of the ground state is only lost in the Fock regime, where the true ground state approaches the relative site-number state \( |\ell, 0\rangle \).

\( \varphi = 0 \) preparation.—The above discussion implies that, in the case of a coherent preparation \( |\pi/2, 0\rangle \), any weak coupling beyond \( J > U/\ell \) would suffice to lock the relative phase and to prevent the loss of single-particle coherence [5]. In phase-space language, the \( \varphi = 0 \) preparation is located at the minimum of the sea region, around a stable fixed point \( (\pi/2, 0) \), and resembles the ground state of the Hamiltonian. The expected small-oscillation frequency for the evolution of this coherent state is \( \omega_s \), and hence that of the visibility is \( 2\omega_s \) (see Fig. 4).

\( \varphi = \pi \) preparation.—For the coherent preparation \( |\pi/2, \pi\rangle \) the picture is quite different. In the Rabi regime, this state coincides with the \( |\ell, -\ell\rangle \), most excited eigenstate, and hence it is possible to have phase locking around the stable fixed point \( (\pi/2, \pi) \), where the characteristic frequency is \( \omega_s \). However, as soon as \( u > 1 \), this fixed point bifurcates, and the Wigner function of the eigenstates has to equally populate the two twin islands in phase space, hence forming a cat state [16] with bimodal distribution. This crossover is reflected (see Fig. 3) by the sharp increase in the relative-number variance to the cat-state value \( \Delta L_z = N/2 \), and by the equally sharp decrease of its single-particle coherence. The evolution of the coherent preparation \( |\pi/2, \pi\rangle \) in the vicinity of the unstable point is further analyzed below.

**Dynamics.**—The phase sensitivity of phase diffusion originates from the instability of the hyperbolic fixed point \((-1, 0, 0)\) of the classical phase space, as opposed to the

![FIG. 3 (color online). Wigner function of the separatrix state (a) and its \( \varphi \) and \( m \) projections (b), (c) for the eigenstates specified in Fig. 2. Solid red lines depict the near-separatrix eigenstate, while dash-dotted blue lines and dashed green lines correspond to the ground and most excited ("cat") states, respectively. Also shown in (d) are the relative-number variance and the fringe visibility for ground state (blue dash-dotted line) and most excited state (dashed green line) with \( N = 1000 \).](180403-3)

![FIG. 4 (color online). Probability distribution \( P(E_n) \) for the preparations \( |\pi/2, 0\rangle \) (a) and \( |\pi/2, \pi\rangle \) (b), with \( N = 1000 \) particles, and \( u = 10^3 \). Numerical expansions (\( \bigcirc \)) are compared with the semiclassical estimates of Eqs. (7) and (8) (solid lines). In (c), the mean frequency and the long time average of the \( \langle L_z \rangle \) oscillations of Fig. 1 (\( \bigtriangleup \) for \( |\pi/2, 0\rangle \), \( \square \) for \( |\pi/2, \pi\rangle \)) are compared to the semiclassical analysis of the dynamics in phase space (solid blue lines and dotted red lines, respectively). Error bars reflect the associated dispersion.](180403-4)
stability of the elliptic fixed point (1, 0, 0) [2]. Here we would like to go beyond this heuristic observation and understand quantitatively the long time recurrences in Fig. 1. The coherent state \(|\pi/2, 0, 0\rangle\) is a superposition of a few low-energy states. Since the level spacing is fixed on the Josephson frequency \(\omega_J\), the fringe visibility carries out clean harmonic oscillations. By contrast, the state \(|\pi/2, \pi\rangle\) consists of levels around the separatrix energy, which are not equally spaced, resulting in the quasiperiodic oscillations of Figs. 1(f)–1(h). The observed time scale of these oscillations (Fig. 4) agrees well with \(\omega_\epsilon\). For the purpose of quantitative analysis we have to expand the initial state \(\psi\) in the \(E_n\) basis. A reasonable estimate for the envelope function \(P(E_n) = \langle E_n | \psi \rangle^2 = \text{tr}(\rho^{(n)} \rho^{(\phi)})\) can be obtained using a semiclassical approximation. The Wigner function of the \(n\)th eigenstate is approximated by a microcanonical distribution \(\rho^{(n)}(\varphi, \theta) = \omega(E_n) \delta(E(\theta, \varphi) - E_n)\), and the coherent state is approximated by a minimal Gaussian. With appropriate approximations for \(n \gg 1\) the phase-space integration gives for the \(\varphi = 0\) preparation

\[
P(\tilde{E}) = 2I_0 \left[ \frac{2 - \frac{1}{2n}}{2\tilde{E}} \right] e^{-(2+1/2n)\tilde{E}},
\]

with \(\tilde{E} = (E - E_n)/J\), and for the \(\varphi = \pi\) preparation

\[
P(\tilde{E}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \frac{\omega(E)}{\omega_J} \right) K_0 \left[ \frac{2 + \frac{1}{2n}}{2\tilde{E}} \right] e^{(2-1/2n)\tilde{E}},
\]

with \(\tilde{E} = (E - E_n)/J\). In the above, \(I_0\) and \(K_0\) are the modified Bessel functions. As shown in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b) this analytical estimate agrees remarkably well with the exact numerical diagonalization, with the exception of the separatrix state whose phase-space distribution [Fig. 3(a)] somewhat deviates from the microcanonical ansatz. Because of the even parity of both preparations \(|\pi/2, 0, 0\rangle\) is always even and \(|\pi/2, \pi\rangle\) has \((-1)^n\) parity, the occupation of odd \(n\) states vanishes and the occupation of the even \(n\) states is twice the semiclassical estimate. Using these expressions we can estimate the participation ratio of the preparation, which comes out \(M \sim NU/\omega_J = \sqrt{\mu}\).

**Fluctuations.—**Regarding \(\psi\) as a superposition of \(M\) energy states we can estimate the fluctuations using the following reasoning: In the linear case, if the energy levels are equally spaced, there is only one \(\mathcal{M} = 1\) basic frequency and the motion is strictly periodic. If the energies were quasirandom, then there are \(\mathcal{M} = M - 1\) independent frequencies, and the motion is quasiperiodic with relative variance \(\sim 1/\mathcal{M}\). But what we have for the \(\pi\) preparation are energy levels that are characterized by a nonlinearity parameter \(\alpha\). Then, if the nonlinearity parameter is not very small, the effective number of independent frequencies is \(\mathcal{M} = \sqrt{\alpha}M\), which interpolates between the linear and the quasirandom estimates. As shown in Fig. 4(c), this analysis is consistent with our numerical simulation.

**Summary.—**The vast majority of recent BEC interference experiments related to phase diffusion are carried out in the Josephson regime. Within it, the dynamics of single-particle coherence is expected to strongly depend on the initial relative phase between the partially separated condensates. Zero relative phase leads to phase locking even for \(u\) as large as \(N^2\), whereas \(\pi\) relative phase preparation results in the loss of fringe visibility all the way down to \(u \sim 1\). Using a semiclassical phase-space picture we related this behavior to the classical phase-space structure and the ensuing WKB spectrum, obtaining analytical expressions for the envelope functions of these two coherent preparations in the eigenstate basis, for \(u\) in the Josephson regime. These expansions agree well with numerical calculations and enable the accurate prediction of the amplitude of fringe-visibility oscillations.
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